



CITY OF BURTON

BUDGET MEETING

MAY 13, 2015

MINUTES

Council Chambers

Budget Workshop

6:30 PM

4303 S. CENTER ROAD
BURTON, MI 48519

This meeting was opened by Councilman Tom Martinbianco at 6:30 PM.

A. ROLL CALL

Attendee Name	Title	Status	Arrived
Tom Martinbianco	Councilman	Present	
Duane Haskins	Councilman	Present	
Dennis O'Keefe	Councilman	Present	
Steven Heffner	Councilman	Present	
Ellen Ellenburg	Councilwoman	Present	
Vaughn Smith	Councilman	Present	
Steven Hatfield	Councilman	Present	

B. STAFF PRESENT

Rik Hayman, Chief of Staff
Sue Warren, Human Resources
Doug Bingman, Treasurer
Teresa Karsney, Clerk
Inspector

Ginger Burke-Miller, Controller
Robert Slattery, DPW Director
Dave Marshke, Water/Sewer Supervisor/Foreman
Stuart Worthington, Building/Code Enforcement

Rik Hayman stated that Mayor Zelenko will not be here tonight due to urgent family matters.

C. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

- Minutes for approval were removed by President Martinbianco to be approved at City Council.

D. AUDIENCE PARTICIPATION

None

E. BUDGET DISCUSSION

President Martinbianco turned the meeting over to Finance Chairman Smith.

- Discussion on City Hall Budget, Page 10
Mr. Smith asked the Administration if they have any input on the City Hall Budget.

Mrs. Burke-Miller stated that at the last budget meeting she was asked to explain any major variances since last year. In the City hall budget first item is: Building Maintenance and Supplies, line item 1001-2065-937.0000 in 2014-2015 budget were repairs to the north parking lot, which have been taken care of. Line item 1001-2065-977.7089 New equipment, this item has been reduced because in 2014-2015

budget was the amount for the generator. Line item 1001-2065-977.7090 City Hall Expansion/Lease is the bond payment schedule. She asked the Council if they had any questions.

Mr. O'Keefe asked if the generators are installed and if they are tested monthly.

Mr. Hayman stated yes they are all installed and they have been tested.

Ms. Warren thought they are tested the first Monday of the month at 9:00 a.m.

Mr. Martinbianco stated while up to now payments were consistent, there was an increase in the payment for the bond schedule and then asked when does the bond payment end.

Mrs. Burke-Miller stated that sometimes in the bond schedules they vary the bond payments. She said the last payment is due in Fiscal Year ending 06/30/2021.

Mr. Martinbianco asked if this change was included in the 5 year forecasting.

Mrs. Burke-Miller stated she didn't believe so.

2. Discussion on Public Service Budget, Page 10

Mrs. Burke-Miller stated that Drains at Large includes \$46,597 of Gilkey Creek Bond issuance - Burton's portion is 16.21799 percent projected matches the annual drain at large invoice received March 2015. Street lighting projecting lower cost as per conversation that the controller had with Consumers Energy on 03/19/15. Consumer's will be converting the City's last mercury vapor lights to high pressure sodium over the course of this coming year at no cost to the City. The cost of LED lights as of 03/19/15 is \$1,000 per street light. The City has 2,791 street lights per the breakdown from Consumer's. This would cost the City \$2,791,000.00 to convert to LED. Per Consumer's they are expecting the cost to decrease in the spring of 2015.

Mrs. Burke-Miller stated the next item is Disaster Aid. We budgeted \$3,000 in 2014-2015, (requesting same for 2015-2016) in an effort to stay consistent for 2015-2016. We have to have an amount in this line item in order to prove that the City has budgeted funds for disaster aid in order to apply for aid once the City exhausted budgeted amount. She stated to prove that the City has been budgeting something for disaster aid is a prerequisite to apply for aid once the City exhausted budgeted amount. Holiday decorations and labor cost: we have bumped this up from \$500 to \$2000.

Mr. Heffner asked if that is just the labor cost.

Mrs. Burke-Miller stated that a portion is for new decorations.

Mr. Heffner asked isn't that the DDA responsibility?

Mrs. Burke-Miller stated no, these decorations could be the ones down Manor Drive, City Hall, and Police Department.

Mrs. Burke-Miller said that Blight Elimination expenditure has been moved to the Building Department.

Mr. Martinbianco asked what the logic was behind that move.

Mr. Hayman stated that money is being mostly used for demolitions and that is generated out of the Building Department.

Mr. Martinbianco stated he did not feel that blight is all dedicated to demolitions.

Mr. Hayman stated that is true but that is where a majority of the money goes is to demolitions and cleanups. He said we are in the process of applying for a grant for blight elimination. The Administration is open to have discussion on where the Council feels that belongs in the budget.

Mr. Martinbianco stated if we are going to do cleanup to the city, there is a need for funding in other areas.

Mr. Hayman stated items (blight requests) come through the Mayor's office, if he feels the funding should be put in other areas that would be fine.

Mr. Martinbianco stated that the city council appropriates the money and feels it should be in other areas.

Mr. Hayman stated there are other items to be discussed under the buildings budget. Currently they are in the process of applying for Blight Elimination Grant, a pretty significant one. He is open for discussion as to where City Council thinks this line item belongs in the budget.

Mr. Martinbianco asked why is it here and not under another section of the budget.

Mr. Hayman stated the blight elimination grant discussions just took place earlier today, this is a recent development and transpired after preparation of the budget.

Mrs. Ellenburg asked wouldn't it be better if we are going out for a grant, to have a line item in the budget for the Blight Elimination Grant, than going into a demolition line item?

Mr. Hayman stated there is no problem with adding a line item if that is how you want to go.

Mrs. Burke-Miller stated that the MML Newsletter had provided information on a Blight Elimination Grant called the 2015 Michigan Blight Elimination Program which speaks specifically to spending a bulk of the money on demolitions and cleanup. Each applicant could receive up to \$250,000 which can be used on commercial property demolition, not industrial and can also be used for residential properties. There is a 1 year limitation to use the grant money from the date of award. In the meeting this morning with Stewart and Amber Abbey she stated she would be willing to write the grant and prepare a resolution to put in front of council for advisory consideration to obtain the Grant Funds.

Mrs. Ellenburg asked if the Blight Grant included salary of that person.

Mrs. Burke-Miller stated there is a 5% allowance for administrative costs and 95% needs to be used for demolition. There needs to be a plan and budget which needs to be put together quickly.

Mr. Smith inquired that the annual budget for demolition was \$44,800.00 and that \$43,563.00 had already been spent going into March. Was all this money spent for demolition or was it used to remove graffiti?

Mrs. Burke-Miller started by saying that the answer to Mr. Smith's question was complicated and the reason for Mr. Bingham being here for the meeting. Demolitions done in prior fiscal year have been questioned by auditors as to why the account receivables for the Building Department had gone up. Reason for this is that in the past billings (for demo done by City) had gone on tax bill for persons not reimbursing the city for the expense. This has changed, Mr. Bingham is no longer adding this expense to the tax bill, we are still billing those out. We have had instances during audits where I have had to refer to Doug some (former residents owing for blight clean up) are out of state and unable to collect on. Auditor stated that if not collected within the 60 day window it needs to be shown as not being collectable or receivable, we did not accrual to take those off as to not reflect as revenue. That \$43,000.00 that Mr. Smith mentioned actually reflects old items that the City will have to eat the cost and was from prior year.

Mr. Bingham said we are not just writing these items off, we are sending them to an outside collection agency to assist in finding these people and see what they can do in collecting from them, we are taking these off the books all together, therefore they are not showing up as revenue.

Mr. Martinbianco questions what happened when deemed uncollectable, what do we do then?

Mr. Bingham replied if they are deemed uncollectable and all resources have been exhausted to collect; they are already written off on the revenue we just need to take them off the reserve at this point.

Mr. Haskins asked if you left them on the property; eventually somewhere down the line the property is going to be sold, wouldn't it get paid eventually?

Mrs. Burke-Miller replied it would be Tax Reverted back to the City. The City would get a charge back related to it.

Mr. Bingham elaborates stating if you put a \$20,000.00 charge on a property only worth \$2,000.00 the owner is not going to pay it. The county holds the property for a couple of years and then it goes to a Land Bank then it becomes free and clear of liens and back taxes. Eventually the City gets charged back when the property turns over to the Land Bank.

Mrs. Burke-Miller added that the Blight Elimination grant would benefit the City by receiving money. The City would not have to eat the cost. In the discussion we talked about targeting the commercial properties with the Grant Funds so that we

can spur on economic development.

Mr. Hatfield asked how much of that \$43,000.00 was written off.

Mr. Bingham iterated that when we put it on the taxes and send it to collection it's the revenue that is written off and put into a reserve. If money is collected it's put back into cash.

Mr. Hatfield said I understand that but how much of that was not used for demolitions?

Mrs. Burke-Miller said in the current year demolition and clean up expense is under condemned housing. This blight elimination expenditure utilized for demolitions last fiscal year had to be expensed somewhere and that is where it is at.

Mr. Smith asked should the City receive the Grant money that puts us right back where we were. We are heading into the summer months and we have no money left. What is your game plan?

Mrs. Burke-Miller stated if we did a demolition now we would do it under condemned housing under the Building Department.

Mr. Hatfield said I'm struggling with two different methodology's in doing demolitions in terms of accounting for them. Blight I thought was Graffiti removal and general clean up. I had no idea it was for demolitions, blight has become a first pool and once exhausted you move to another pool to do demolition of buildings.

Mr. Hayman stated I understand where you are coming from, primarily demolition money is coming from condemned housing, the cleanup element of Blight is coming out of Blight Elimination and didn't in past years as Doug explained earlier. Moving forward we will have a line item for condemned housing that will be used for primarily demolitions, once we exhaust that we can use the other line but it's primarily for cleaning. If we get the \$250,000.00 grant we can use it for all of those items including environmental cleanup.

Mr. Hatfield said it's not really going for labor meaning for a City employee to go and do the cleanup.

Mr. Hayman said no, Blight Elimination is for cleanup.

Mr. Hatfield stated you just said it was write offs from years prior.

Mr. Hayman said No, that's how we used it this year as Doug just explained it.

Mrs. Ellenburg asked so right now we only have \$60,000.00 for demolitions for condemned housing.

Mr. Martinbianco said the administration has taken Blight out of 2013-2014 where it is currently then put it where it is now for 2014-2015, but not in 2015-2016, this is what we are trying to figure out, why? We just want it in the right spot.

Mr. Smith said when using two different accounts it's harder to track cost of that function. Now I question what we really need for Blight Elimination since we've muddied the waters.

Mrs. Burke-Miller stated we had it built into 2014-2015 (budget) General Funds under Public Service since it relates primarily to demolitions and clean ups. We decided to move it into the Building Department and suggest we keep them as two separate line items with the Building Department so we can apply everything that is grant related into the Blight Elimination line item. Assuming we get an award in 2015-2016, I can come back and say to you that I want to do a budget amendment of \$250,000.00 as revenue and bump up Blight Elimination by \$250,000.00, and then use those monies specifically for the grant and any excess would probably be used for residential demolitions and would be put into condemned housing.

3. Discussion on the Transfers Out, Page 11

Mrs. Burke-Miller started with the Transfers Out for Major Streets such as Potter Road were estimated at \$886,945.00.

Mr. Martinbianco requested a brief in regards to Potter Rd, he understands it's not a priority. He has driven the corridor and because of the volume of traffic it needs to be addressed.

Mr. Slattery stated he was becoming familiar with the project but does not know all the details. Once he has all the information he will pass it on to City Council.

Mr. Martinbianco stated that would be appropriate, Potter Rd is a line between Burton and Genesee Township, we do not know if they are willing to contribute to the repairs. We need to take that into account while doing the budget for other repairs needed. Many projects are needed but not budgeted. We must establish a funding source.

Mr. Slattery said when the Street and Road Portion of the Budget discussions comes up he will be prepared to address it with the council.

Mr. Martinbianco said creative financial planning is needed to enable us to move forward. This is just roads, there are other projects such as water and sewer.

Mr. Hayman said we will defer discussion on Potter Rd until the subsequent meeting.

Mr. Martinbianco stated yes. We also need to make sure railroad people keep their promise in doing their due diligence and crossings are up to standards.

Mr. Hayman said we have spent a lot of time on railroads and holding them accountable.

Mr. O'Keefe asked we will defer this one and discuss each entity such as Fire Department, Police Department etc, until we do the Department Reviews.

Mr. Hayman said yes we can show them as transfers in when we discuss their portion of the budget.

Mr. Heffner has encouraged council to drive sections of roads that are in dire need of repair as discussed prior. Casto Road has been put off for years and deteriorated more over the last two winters and is in need of repair. Bristol will be done when TIF (Transportation Improvement Fund) is received.

Mr. Haskins - The transfer of the 2 million for Potter and Belsay, if we are going to borrow from Water and Sewer until the TIF money comes in, will you transfer out to major streets or take a loan from the sewer fund and put it "in" instead of transfer out?

Mrs. Burke-Miller said on page 15 under 2014-2015 projected activity, there is 1.7 million as loan proceeds, if it's other's people money we are borrowing then we are allowed to put that in here as loan proceeds. If we borrow from sewer this fund that we are looking at is in major trouble. As you recall Mr. Deeter from Rehman said borrowing from ourselves is all balance sheet, we can't show it as revenue into this fund. If we go that intensive to improve our streets then this fund will have problems.

Mr. Haskins said Mr. Deeter stated that they are fine with the deficit elimination plan we filed with the state they are fine with it and understands the circumstances transpiring with revenue coming in. He said it would be more work for you, but that it would be fine and everything would balance out and he has no issues with it.

Mr. Martinbianco asked can you give us some background on this? The Mayor's suggested budget transfers from other funds, which we know came from sewer specifically. So we do that, what are the repercussions here from the auditors when they say we can't do that and we say yes we can it's our money. What's the big deal borrowing from ourselves?

Mrs. Burke-Miller said the reason we show 2 million coming in from General Fund is so we can show a balanced budget and that we are not going into a deficit.

Mr. Martinbianco asked does it come from the General Fund and not the Sewer fund?

The Council discussed possibility of a bond for road repairs.

Mr. Martinbianco asked, is there is ACT 51 money coming in, how much can we potentially bond for?

Mrs. Burke-Miller said she doesn't have an answer for that, it should be answered by Bobby Bendzinski.

Mr. Martinbianco said let me rephrase, the last bond we sold in 1993 was fully funded by Act 51 money over 15 years ago. At some time we need to figure out what we can bond for, and do you put it all in roads or streets? Streets to be repaired first should be the ones with economic benefit in the City followed by repairing roads most beneficial to the residents.

Mrs. Burke-Miller said we should do the roads that benefit the economic vitality first and wait for TIF money and do those other projects that come in from the state.

Mr. Martinbianco stated we need to have the flexibility in place to do that, roads are in bad condition throughout Burton.

Mr. Smith asked the administration to research to see what we can bond for.

Mr. Hayman said in discussions with Bobby Bendzinski on how to finance repairs, pending what would happen with Proposition We need a sense of where the Council is on what you want administration to do in terms of funding.

Mr. Smith asked do we want a bond for roads with some flexibility on what the bond can be used for.

Mr. Martinbianco stated that this would be first place to start, what is our bonding capability, and how much of that bonding capability do you want to use for roads.

Mr. Hayman said we can put this on the agenda for next council meeting since this is not the forum to give administration direction on how you want us to proceed.

Mr. Martinbianco said he could pull a resolution together to reflect that.

Mr. Smith asked under the Fire Fund, is the \$500,000.00 contingent on a grant or is this being asked for?

Mrs. Burke-Miller said we are asking for a grant in the amount of the projected activity being transferred out of general fund due to Mr. Epperson experiencing several break downs of the fire vehicles. He is pouring money into them to maintain them, we will get into that when he is here.

4. Discussion on Self Insurance, Page 55

Mrs. Burke-Miller stated this fund is used to pay our healthcare insurance. We have contributions coming in from the various other funds which is the revenue source coming in. The actual amount paid per the quarterly settlement was in excess of 1.5 Million for the 2014/2015 fiscal year. Our projected budget is 1.6 million to cover for reinsurance fees and affordable care act fees. 2015/2016 adds the increase in the rates from Blue Cross/Blue Shield. The Federal Government is also requiring sterner record keeping guidelines for proof of insurance reasons.

5. Discussion on Mayor Department Budget, Page 8

Mrs. Burke-Miller stated the only real change is that ¼ of the record tech position is being budgeted into the Mayor's budget.

Mr. Smith said we need to be aware of the Chief of Staff W2 for 2014 was \$50,019.00. The 2015 annual salary was \$62,161.00. Benefits are \$56,638.00 for a total of \$118,799.00. This is a \$12,000.00 raise due to title change.

Mr. Martinbianco said within the scope of the union contracts we have existing language that dictates what a job title is and what they are supposed to do. Changes were made within the current fiscal year. We never saw that. Council was never informed of the change. I don't understand the differentiation.

Ms. Warren said when you have vacancies and an opportunity to reconstruct a department which is what was done with the Clerk's Office, we evaluated what we really needed in there when replacing the two vacant positions. The Election Clerk as opposed to the Clerk/Typist title was more effective title considering the actual scope of work. The same is true for the Record Tech position. In both cases, the level of responsibility increased.

Mr. Haskins asked how the job got done before changing the titles.

Ms. Warren said the jobs were being done by the position of Clerk/Typist and it wasn't being done to the level of proficiency that we needed.

Mr. Heffner asked if there were raises from last year to this year in terms of the AFSCME Me Too Clause. He also asked if City Council should have made that decision. He stated we were told that it wouldn't kick in to Me Too Clause.

Ms. Warren said you're right. When we had that discussion, according to the Me Too Clause, it was wages only. Yes, we ended up with Me Too. As the grievances escalated, we had to seek outside legal counsel and it was suggested to make the adjustment.

Mr. Haskins stated it was made very clear in a previous meeting by Mr. Heffner that we didn't want a Me Too added.

Mrs. Ellenburg said you could have brought us in to Executive Session to tell us this was going to happen.

Mr. Heffner asked how much that cost us.

Mrs. Burke-Miller said I don't have the information right now. She will provide the information at a later meeting.

Mr. Heffner said I realize the budget was passed. The titles changing and their income increasing should have come in front of the Council for approval. I am not happy about that.

6. Discussion on Water Department Budget, Page 49

Mrs. Burke-Miller stated we had a grant for disadvantaged communities that came through in 2014/2015 that has not happened in any other year. The City tap in fees have gone up slightly. We had 18,200.00 in 2013/2014 fiscal year. We projected \$2,400.00. We budgeted for \$4,000.00 because of some building that is happening. Community Development was the Judd Road water main. It was a one year activity so there is nothing for 2015/2016. The transfer in from Trail Ridge was in 2013/2014 and no other activity is expected there.

Mr. Martinbianco asked Mr. Worthing for an update on the activity in Trail Ridge.

Mr Worthing said he has nine new houses with open permits at this time. They have another 5 that are sold that they are ready to do contracts on. It is projected to have 25 houses in there.

Mr. Martinbianco stated we are getting our water through Detroit from Lake Huron. There will be an increase in July. Sounds like it will be 10-12%. Has that been built into the budget?

Mrs. Burke-Miller said when we did the budget in January, at that time, this information was not available.

Mr. Martinbianco said revenues will be higher from July forward and any related expenses.

Mrs. Burke-Miller said it would make sense to adjust the line items accordingly.

Mr. Heffner asked how much is in the budget for the street repair on the south end where we did the water lines and what all are they going to repair.

Mr. Hayman stated it is negotiation with the contractor right now. After talking to Stantec there are some instances where the road was deteriorating so badly that the damage done by the contractor maybe didn't cause the damage.

Mr. Slattery said they have driven the roads. We need to look at some pictures and videos from before the work was done to do an assessment on what needs to be done to fix it. The solution will have to be fair and work for everyone involved including the residents that live there. We may have to do some patching in the short term. Some need to be rebuilt.

7. Discussion on Water Asset Request, Page 57
This item will be on the next budget meeting.

8. Discussion on Sewer Department Budget, Page 47
This item will be on the next budget meeting.

9. Discussion on Sewer Asset Request, Page 57
This item will be on the next budget meeting.

10. Discussion on Planning Budget, Page 10

Mrs. Burke-Miller said the difference here is in the commission salaries. When you estimate \$40.00 per month for seven people it comes up to just under \$3,500.00. So we used \$3,600.00 as a cushion.

Mr. Martinbianco asked how much is allocated to the Planning and Zoning Official.

Mrs. Burke-Miller said she believes it is 45% in zoning and 10% in building.

11. Discussion on Zoning Budget, Page 11

Mrs. Burke-Miller stated salaries permanent is the same situation and we budget the same for Board Salaries.

12. Discussion on Building Budget, Page 30

Mrs. Burke-Miller stated on the revenue side the big difference is the contribution from the General Fund. With the issues regarding condemned housing, collections and demolitions which tend to be uncollectible, we counted on that revenue for the 2014/2015 projected budget. At that point we did not anticipate the need for

contributions from the General Fund so during budget amendments, we will be asking for additional money to be brought into the building department. That is why we have \$128,800.00. We went through projected activity while keeping the ending fund balance steady to avoid any deficit elimination plan. In 2015/2016 we built in a contribution from General Fund of 150,000.00. We have used \$69,900.00. The ending fund balance is \$5,300.00.

Mr. Heffner stated he noticed the Information Technology went down.

Mrs. Burke-Miller said in several of the funds the Information Technology went down slightly because of the updated computers in the Council Chambers and that lessens the amount allocated to some of the other funds. Also, if we get the grant and we have laborers going out, it won't hit the salaries permanent line item. It will go against the grant.

Mr. Smith asked for information about the fringe benefits going out for additional clerical position.

Mrs. Burke-Miller said we have a part of Jackie Beutler's position in there. Karen Moffit is allocated there as well as the addition of Mr. Slattery.

Mr. Martinbianco asked Mr. Worthing to give an update of where we were this past year and where we plan to be in general.

Mr. Worthing said every tagged house has a \$100.00 fee that goes along with it. We have 160 throughout the City. They need to be assessed. Probably 50% would be eligible for demolition. We also have four commercial buildings on our main corridors coming into the City. They include Burton Auto Parts, a VFW Hall on Bristol Road/Fenton Road. There is one on Saginaw Street off of Hemphill Road on the West side near Norton and the old 7-11 building on Saginaw Street/Judd Road.

Mr. Heffner stated the DDA members want these buildings demolished.

Mr. Worthing said if a building can be saved, I am all about saving it. If it is past the point of being saved, that's where we want to use this grant to get some of them down. To let them sit is not good for the City.

Mr. Hayman said the grant is moving quickly for approval. The deadline is June 1st and they are acting in 30 days. We will know very quickly where we stand on the grant.

Mrs. Ellenburg asked if the DDA will pay the match percentage on the grant for demos.

Mrs. Burke-Miller said no, all they are asking is for us to show we have an investment. I will use the Blight Elimination line item as proof that we are doing improvements to the City.

Mr. Heffner asked if the owners of these buildings have been sent a letter that we are requesting demolition. If they are current on their taxes, they may want to keep the property.

Mr. Hayman said the first step would be to get consent of the owner.

Mr. Smith stated we will stop here tonight and pick up at Water Asset Request at the next meeting.

13. Discussion on Fire Department Budget, Page 22

14. Discussion on Fire Department Capital Projects Budget, Page 46

15. Discussion on K9 Unit Budget, page 33

16. Discussion on Drug Law Enforcement Budget, Page 32

17. Discussion on Police Department Budget, Page 25-26

Meeting was adjourned at 8:38 PM.



Budget
4303 S. Center Rd.
Burton, MI 48519

Meeting: 05/13/15 06:30 PM
Department: Clerk's Office
Category: Budget
Prepared By: Teresa M. Karsney
Department Head: Teresa M. Karsney

E.1

SCHEDULED

AGENDA ITEM (ID # 1575)

DOC ID: 1575

Discussion on City Hall Budget, Page 10



Budget
4303 S. Center Rd.
Burton, MI 48519

Meeting: 05/13/15 06:30 PM
Department: Clerk's Office
Category: Budget
Prepared By: Teresa M. Karsney
Department Head: Teresa M. Karsney

E.2

SCHEDULED

AGENDA ITEM (ID # 1576)

DOC ID: 1576

Discussion on Public Service Budget, Page 10



Budget
4303 S. Center Rd.
Burton, MI 48519

Meeting: 05/13/15 06:30 PM
Department: Clerk's Office
Category: Budget
Prepared By: Teresa M. Karsney
Department Head: Teresa M. Karsney

E.3

SCHEDULED

AGENDA ITEM (ID # 1577)

DOC ID: 1577

Discussion on the Transfers Out, Page 11



Budget
4303 S. Center Rd.
Burton, MI 48519

Meeting: 05/13/15 06:30 PM
Department: Clerk's Office
Category: Budget
Prepared By: Teresa M. Karsney
Department Head: Teresa M. Karsney

E.4

SCHEDULED

AGENDA ITEM (ID # 1578)

DOC ID: 1578

Discussion on Self Insurance, Page 55



Budget
4303 S. Center Rd.
Burton, MI 48519

Meeting: 05/13/15 06:30 PM
Department: Clerk's Office
Category: Budget
Prepared By: Teresa M. Karsney
Department Head: Teresa M. Karsney

E.5

SCHEDULED

AGENDA ITEM (ID # 1579)

DOC ID: 1579

Discussion on Mayor Department Budget, Page 8



Budget
4303 S. Center Rd.
Burton, MI 48519

Meeting: 05/13/15 06:30 PM
Department: Clerk's Office
Category: Budget
Prepared By: Teresa M. Karsney
Department Head: Teresa M. Karsney

E.6

SCHEDULED

AGENDA ITEM (ID # 1580)

DOC ID: 1580

Discussion on Water Department Budget, Page 49



Budget
4303 S. Center Rd.
Burton, MI 48519

Meeting: 05/13/15 06:30 PM
Department: Clerk's Office
Category: Budget
Prepared By: Teresa M. Karsney
Department Head: Teresa M. Karsney

E.7

SCHEDULED

AGENDA ITEM (ID # 1581)

DOC ID: 1581

Discussion on Water Asset Request, Page 57



Budget
4303 S. Center Rd.
Burton, MI 48519

Meeting: 05/13/15 06:30 PM
Department: Clerk's Office
Category: Budget
Prepared By: Teresa M. Karsney
Department Head: Teresa M. Karsney

E.8

SCHEDULED

AGENDA ITEM (ID # 1582)

DOC ID: 1582

Discussion on Sewer Department Budget, Page 47



Budget
4303 S. Center Rd.
Burton, MI 48519

Meeting: 05/13/15 06:30 PM
Department: Clerk's Office
Category: Budget
Prepared By: Teresa M. Karsney
Department Head: Teresa M. Karsney

E.9

SCHEDULED

AGENDA ITEM (ID # 1583)

DOC ID: 1583

Discussion on Sewer Asset Request, Page 57



Budget
4303 S. Center Rd.
Burton, MI 48519

Meeting: 05/13/15 06:30 PM
Department: Clerk's Office
Category: Budget
Prepared By: Teresa M. Karsney
Department Head: Teresa M. Karsney

E.10

SCHEDULED

AGENDA ITEM (ID # 1584)

DOC ID: 1584

Discussion on Planning Budget, Page 10



Budget
4303 S. Center Rd.
Burton, MI 48519

Meeting: 05/13/15 06:30 PM
Department: Clerk's Office
Category: Budget
Prepared By: Teresa M. Karsney
Department Head: Teresa M. Karsney

E.11

SCHEDULED

AGENDA ITEM (ID # 1585)

DOC ID: 1585

Discussion on Zoning Budget, Page 11



Budget
4303 S. Center Rd.
Burton, MI 48519

Meeting: 05/13/15 06:30 PM
Department: Clerk's Office
Category: Budget
Prepared By: Teresa M. Karsney
Department Head: Teresa M. Karsney

E.12

SCHEDULED

AGENDA ITEM (ID # 1586)

DOC ID: 1586

Discussion on Building Budget, Page 30



Budget
4303 S. Center Rd.
Burton, MI 48519

Meeting: 05/13/15 06:30 PM
Department: Clerk's Office
Category: Budget
Prepared By: Teresa McCrea
Department Head: Teresa M. Karsney

E.13

SCHEDULED

AGENDA ITEM (ID # 1522)

DOC ID: 1522

Discussion on Fire Department Budget, Page 22



Budget
4303 S. Center Rd.
Burton, MI 48519

Meeting: 05/13/15 06:30 PM
Department: Clerk's Office
Category: Budget
Prepared By: Teresa McCrea
Department Head: Teresa M. Karsney

E.14

SCHEDULED

AGENDA ITEM (ID # 1523)

DOC ID: 1523

Discussion on Fire Department Capital Projects Budget, Page 46



Budget
4303 S. Center Rd.
Burton, MI 48519

Meeting: 05/13/15 06:30 PM
Department: Clerk's Office
Category: Budget
Prepared By: Teresa McCrea
Department Head: Teresa M. Karsney

E.15

SCHEDULED

AGENDA ITEM (ID # 1524)

DOC ID: 1524

Discussion on K9 Unit Budget, page 33



Budget
4303 S. Center Rd.
Burton, MI 48519

SCHEDULED

AGENDA ITEM (ID # 1525)

Meeting: 05/13/15 06:30 PM

Department: Clerk's Office

Category: Budget

Prepared By: Teresa McCrea

Department Head: Teresa M. Karsney

E.16

DOC ID: 1525

Discussion on Drug Law Enforcement Budget, Page 32



Budget
4303 S. Center Rd.
Burton, MI 48519

Meeting: 05/13/15 06:30 PM
Department: Clerk's Office
Category: Budget
Prepared By: Teresa McCrea
Department Head: Teresa M. Karsney

E.17

SCHEDULED

AGENDA ITEM (ID # 1526)

DOC ID: 1526 A

Discussion on Police Department Budget, Page 25-26